1 2	Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360) Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076) Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)	
3	HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600	
4	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 848-4900	
5	Facsimile: (415) 848-4999	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.	
6	and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATE AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX	ED,
7	ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONA	L
8	CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.	
9	,	DISTRICT COURT
10		
11		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
13		
14	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)
	Plaintiff,	` ,
15	VS.	Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)
16	AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
17	Defendants.	AMEND THEIR ANSWERS AND COUNTERCLAIMS
18	2 CONTRACTOR	
19		
20	SYNOPSYS, INC.,	Date: December 13, 2005
21	Plaintiff,	Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor
22	VS.	Judge: Martin J. Jenkins
23	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	
24	Defendant.	
	Detendant.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
HOWREY LLP	Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND	

1	On November 8, 2005, Defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox		
2	Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp., Matrox Tech, Inc., and		
3	Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. (collectively the "Customer Defendants") filed a Motion For Leave		
4	To Amend Their Answers And Counterclaims. The matter, having been fully briefed, came before the		
5	Court on December 13, 2005.		
6	The motion, the memoranda, and supporting evidence of the parties having been considered,		
7	and oral argument having been heard, the Court GRANTS Customer Defendants' Motion For Leave		
8	To Amend Their Answers And Counterclaims.		
9	This order is a final determination of the issues raised in Customer Defendants' Motion For		
10	Leave To Amend Their Answers And Counterclaims, and these issues are not subject to future		
11	proceedings prior to a final order of judgment in this case.		
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14			
15	DATED: The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins		
16	United States District Court Judge		
17	Submitted Nevember 9, 2005 by		
18	Submitted November 8, 2005 by: HOWREY LLP		
19			
20			
	Rv [*] /s/		
21	By:/s/ Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Defendants		
21 22	Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI		
	Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX		
22	Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX		
22 23	Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS INC., MATROX		
22 23 24	Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX COLORADO		
22 23 24 25	Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX COLORADO		
22 23 24 25 26	Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX COLORADO		